Cabinet



| Title of Report: | Report of the Scrutiny Com | | |
|----------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Report No: | CAB/SE/15/037 | | |
| Report to and date: | Cabinet | 23 June 2015 | |
| Chairman of the Committee: | Diane Hind Chairman of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee Tel: 01284 706542 Email: diane.hind@stedsbc.gov.uk | | |
| Lead officer: | Christine Brain Scrutiny Officer Tel: 01638 719729 Email: christine.brain@westsuffolk.gov.uk | | |
| Purpose of report: | On 10 June 2015, the Overview and Scrutiny Committee considered the following items: (1) Joint Anglia Revenues Partnership Debt Management and Recovery Policy; (2) Review of Christmas Fayre; (3) Decisions Plan: June 2015 to May 2016; and | | |
| | Task and Finish (Scrutiny Commit A separate report is incomfor Item (1) above. | cluded on this Cabinet Agenda | |
| Recommendation: | The Cabinet is requested to <u>NOTE</u> the content of Report CAB/SE/15/037, being the report of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee. | | |

| Key Decision: | | Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| (Check the appropriate | | definition? | | | | |
| box and delete all those | - | Yes, it is a Key Decision - □ | | | | |
| that do not apply.) | No, it is | No, it is not a Key Decision - $oximes$ | | | | |
| | Poport f | Report for information only | | | | |
| Consultation: | [керогі і | Report for information only. • See Reports listed under background | | | | |
| | | | pers below | | | |
| | | | Reports listed under background | | | |
| | | | pers below | | | |
| Implications: | | | | | | |
| Are there any financial implications? | | | Yes □ No □ | | | |
| If yes, please give details | | | See Reports listed under | | | |
| | | | background pa | pers below | | |
| Are there any staffing implications? | | | Yes □ No □ | | | |
| If yes, please give of | letails | | See Reports lis | | | |
| | | | background pa | pers below | | |
| Are there any ICT i | • | P If | | Yes □ No □ | | |
| yes, please give det | tails | | See Reports lis | | | |
| | | | background papers below | | | |
| Are there any legal | - | - | Yes □ No □ | | | |
| implications? If yes, | please give |) | See Reports lis | | | |
| details | | | | background papers below | | |
| Are there any equality implications? | | | Yes \(\simega \) No \(\sigma \) | | | |
| · | = - | | | | | |
| If yes, please give of | = - | | See Reports lis | | | |
| If yes, please give of | details | | See Reports list background pa | pers below | | |
| If yes, please give of Risk/opportunity | assessmen | nt: | See Reports lis | pers below opportunities affecting project objectives) | | |
| If yes, please give of | assessmen Inherent le risk (before | nt: | See Reports list background pa (potential hazards or compared) | pers below apportunities affecting | | |
| Risk/opportunity Risk area | assessmen Inherent le | nt: | See Reports list background pa (potential hazards or corporate, service or part) | pers below ppportunities affecting project objectives) Residual risk (after | | |
| Risk/opportunity Risk area See Reports listed | assessmen Inherent le risk (before | nt: | See Reports list background pa (potential hazards or corporate, service or part) | pers below ppportunities affecting project objectives) Residual risk (after | | |
| Risk/opportunity Risk area See Reports listed under background | assessmen Inherent le risk (before | nt: | See Reports list background pa (potential hazards or corporate, service or part) | pers below ppportunities affecting project objectives) Residual risk (after | | |
| Risk/opportunity Risk area See Reports listed | assessmen Inherent le risk (before controls) | nt: | See Reports list background pa (potential hazards or corporate, service or part) | pers below ppportunities affecting project objectives) Residual risk (after | | |
| Risk/opportunity Risk area See Reports listed under background papers below Ward(s) affected: | assessmen Inherent le risk (before controls) | nt: | See Reports list background patch packground patch packground patch packed by the corporate, service or packed by the controls All Wards | pers below pportunities affecting project objectives) Residual risk (after controls) | | |
| Risk/opportunity Risk area See Reports listed under background papers below | assessmen Inherent le risk (before controls) | nt: vel of | See Reports list background patchground patchgrou | pers below ppportunities affecting project objectives) Residual risk (after controls) | | |
| Risk/opportunity Risk area See Reports listed under background papers below Ward(s) affected: Background pape | assessmen Inherent le risk (before controls) | to be | See Reports list background patchground patchground patches for patches f | pers below ppportunities affecting project objectives) Residual risk (after controls) | | |
| Risk/opportunity Risk area See Reports listed under background papers below Ward(s) affected: Background pape (all background papers) | assessmen Inherent le risk (before controls) | to be | See Reports list background particle (potential hazards or corporate, service or particle) Controls All Wards Report OAS/SE/15 Christmas Fayre Report OAS/SE/15 | pers below reportunities affecting roject objectives) Residual risk (after controls) 7007 - Review of 7008 - Decisions | | |
| Risk/opportunity Risk area See Reports listed under background papers below Ward(s) affected: Background pape (all background published on the ward of the second pape) | assessmen Inherent le risk (before controls) | to be | See Reports list background patch packground patch packground patch packed or pac | pers below reportunities affecting roject objectives) Residual risk (after controls) 7007 - Review of 7008 - Decisions | | |
| Risk/opportunity Risk area See Reports listed under background papers below Ward(s) affected: Background pape (all background published on the ward of the second pape) | assessmen Inherent le risk (before controls) | to be | See Reports list background particle (potential hazards or occurporate, service or particle) All Wards Report OAS/SE/15 Christmas Fayre Report OAS/SE/15 Plan: June 2015 to | pers below pportunities affecting project objectives) Residual risk (after controls) 6/007 - Review of 6/008 - Decisions O May 2016 | | |
| Risk/opportunity Risk area See Reports listed under background papers below Ward(s) affected: Background pape (all background published on the ward of the second pape) | assessmen Inherent le risk (before controls) | to be | See Reports list background particle (potential hazards or corporate, service or particle) Controls All Wards Report OAS/SE/15 Christmas Fayre Report OAS/SE/15 Plan: June 2015 to Report OAS/SE/15 | pers below pportunities affecting project objectives) Residual risk (after controls) 7/007 - Review of 7/008 - Decisions May 2016 7/009 - Work | | |
| Risk/opportunity Risk area See Reports listed under background papers below Ward(s) affected: Background pape (all background published on the ward of the second pape) | assessmen Inherent le risk (before controls) | to be | See Reports list background particle (potential hazards or corporate, service or particle) Controls All Wards Report OAS/SE/15 Christmas Fayre Report OAS/SE/15 Plan: June 2015 to Report OAS/SE/15 Programme Updat | pers below pportunities affecting project objectives) Residual risk (after controls) 7/007 - Review of 7/008 - Decisions 7/009 - Work 8/009 - Work 9/009 - Review of | | |
| Risk/opportunity Risk area See Reports listed under background papers below Ward(s) affected: Background pape (all background published on the ward of the second pape) | assessmen Inherent le risk (before controls) | to be | See Reports list background particle (potential hazards or occurporate, service or particle) All Wards Report OAS/SE/15 Christmas Fayre Report OAS/SE/15 Plan: June 2015 to Report OAS/SE/15 Programme Updat to Task and Finish | pers below repportunities affecting reject objectives) Residual risk (after controls) 7/007 - Review of 7/008 - Decisions 7/009 - Work 8/009 - Work 9/009 - Work 9/009 and Suffolk | | |
| Risk/opportunity Risk area See Reports listed under background papers below Ward(s) affected: Background pape (all background published on the ward of the second pape) | assessmen Inherent le risk (before controls) | to be | See Reports list background particle (potential hazards or corporate, service or particle) Controls All Wards Report OAS/SE/15 Christmas Fayre Report OAS/SE/15 Plan: June 2015 to Report OAS/SE/15 Programme Updat | pers below repportunities affecting reject objectives) Residual risk (after controls) 7/007 - Review of 7/008 - Decisions 7/009 - Work 8/009 - Work 9/009 - Work 9/009 and Suffolk | | |
| Risk/opportunity Risk area See Reports listed under background papers below Ward(s) affected: Background pape (all background published on the ward of the second pape) | assessmen Inherent le risk (before controls) rs: papers are website and | to be | See Reports list background particle (potential hazards or occurporate, service or particle) All Wards Report OAS/SE/15 Christmas Fayre Report OAS/SE/15 Plan: June 2015 to Report OAS/SE/15 Programme Updat to Task and Finish | pers below repportunities affecting reject objectives) Residual risk (after controls) 7/007 - Review of 7/008 - Decisions 7/009 - Work 8/009 - Work 9/009 - Work 9/009 and Suffolk | | |

- 1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation
- 1.1 Review of Christmas Fayre (Report No: OAS/SE/15/007)
- 1.1.1 The Committee received Report No: OAS/SE/15/007, which sought the establishment of a Task and Finish Group to conduct a review into delivery of the Bury St Edmunds Christmas Fayre 2016 and adopt a five year operational plan.
- 1.1.2 The Christmas Fayre had developed from a small event with a small amount of resources to an event which attracted over 120,000 visitors to Bury St Edmunds over a four day period. As the event was now in its twelfth year it was considered that a formal review of the event was opportune.
- 1.1.3 It was proposed that a Task and Finish Group be established comprising of six Members from the Overview and Scrutiny Committee along with two officers in support, and would include:
 - Finance (resources needed for an event this size);
 - Governance (terms of reference to be agreed by the Task and Finish Group);
 - Information from the Consultation/Focus group (an independent focus group of partners be established for the long-term strategic direction of the event); and
 - Operational issues (health and safety/parking/park and ride service/communications and marketing).
- 1.1.4 The Committee considered the report in detail and asked a number of questions to which officers duly responded, and RESOLVED that Councillors Terry Buckle, Patrick Chung, Jeremy Farthing, Richard Rout, Clive Springett and Frank Warby be nominated to sit on the Christmas Fayre Task and Finish Group to review the Christmas Fayre and adopt a five year operational plan.
- 1.2 <u>Decisions Plan: June 2015 to May 2016 (Report No: OAS/SE/15/008)</u>
- 1.2.1 The Committee considered the Cabinet's Decisions Plan for the period June 2015 to May 2016. Members reviewed the Decisions Plan in detail and asked a number of questions to which officers and the Portfolio for Planning and Growth duly responded.
- 1.2.2 In particular, discussions were held on the West Suffolk Operational Hub and the Local Housing Investment Options. Members felt that both projects might benefit from joint involvement by pre-scrutinising the two projects with Forest Heath District Council prior to any final decisions being made by Cabinet.
- 1.2.3 The Committee RESOLVED that the following items from the Decisions Plan be considered jointly with Forest Heath District Council's Overview and Scrutiny Committee prior to being considered by Cabinet:
 - (1) West Suffolk Operational Hub: Business Case; and

- (2) Local Housing Investment Options.
- 1.3 Work Programme Update, Re-appointments to Task and Finish Groups and Suffolk Health Scrutiny Committee (Report No: OAS/SE/15/009)

1.3.1 Task and Finish Groups

The Committee considered the current Joint Task and Finish Group running, being the New Housing Development Sites (Joint Scrutiny Review). The Joint Task and Finish Group had been set up with Forest Heath's Overview and Scrutiny Committee to "Jointly review the unacceptable length of time taken by housing developers to bring highways, footpaths and community facilities (landscaping/open-space/drainage/sustainable urban drainage) up to adoption standards on new developments".

- 1.3.2 The Committee RESOLVED that Councillor Jim Thorndyke; Diane Hind and Angela Rushen be re-appointed/appointed to the New Housing Development Sites Joint Task and Finish Group.
- 1.3.3 Suffolk County Council Health Scrutiny

Members were made aware of Suffolk County Council's reinstatement of its Health Scrutiny Committee. This body included a representative from each of the County's districts and boroughs.

1.3.4 The Committee considered the report and nominated Councillor Tim Marks as the Borough Council's nominated representative on the Suffolk Heath Scrutiny Committee for 2015-2016. The Committee RECOMMENDS that full Council be asked to confirm the appointment of Councillor Tim Marks to the Suffolk Health Scrutiny Committee for 2015-2016.

1.3.5 Work Programme

The Overview and Scrutiny Committees has a rolling work programme, whereby suggestions for scrutiny reviews are brought to each meeting, and if accepted, are timetabled to report to a future meeting. The work programme also leaves space for Call-ins and Councillor Calls for Action.

- 1.3.6 The Chairman raised the issue of Dog Fouling and suggested the Committee might wish to receive an initial report at its July 2015 meeting to find out why it was difficult to fine offenders; initiatives; changes in legislation such as the requirement for dogs to be micro-chipped by April 2016; to consider what was currently being done in an effort to combat dog fouling to then be able to see what the Committee or a Joint Task and Finish Group with Forest Heath District Council might be able to recommend going forward.
- 1.3.7 The Committee RESOLVED that an initial report be included on the Committee's Work Programme for 22 July 2015 to consider the general issue of dog fouling; why it was difficult to fine offenders; changes in legislation such as the requirement for dogs to be micro-chipped by April 2016; current initiatives and options available to the Council to combat dog fouling.